Distributed Systems Introduction Paul Krzyzanowski pxk@cs.rutgers.edu

Except as otherwise noted, the content of this presentation is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 2.5 License.

What can we do now that we could not do before?





June 1976: Robert Metcalfe presents the concept of *Ethernet* at the National Computer Conference

1980: Ethernet introduced as de facto standard (DEC, Intel, Xerox)

Network architecture

LAN speeds

- Original Ethernet: 2.94 Mbps
- 1985: thick Ethernet: 10 Mbps 1 Mbps with twisted pair networking
- 1991: 10BaseT twisted pair: 10 Mbps Switched networking: scalable bandwidth
- 1995: 100 Mbps Ethernet
- 1998: 1 Gbps (Gigabit) Ethernet
- 1999: 802.11b (wireless Ethernet) standardized
- 2001: 10 Gbps introduced
- 2005: 100 Gbps (over optical link)

Network Connectivity

Then:

- Large companies and universities on Internet

more hosts

- Gateways between other networks
- Dial-up bulletin boards
- 1985: 1,961 hosts on the Internet

Now:

- One Internet (mostly)
- 2008: 570,937,778 hosts on the Internet
- Widespread connectivity High-speed WAN connectivity: 1->50 Mbps
- Switched LANs
- Wireless networking



Computing power

Computers got...

- Smaller - Cheaper
- Power efficient
- Faster

Microprocessors became technology leaders

Computing Power

- 1974: Intel 8080 2 MHz, 6K transistors
- 2004: Intel P4 Prescott 3.6 GHz, 125 million transistors
- 2006: Intel Core 2 Duo 2.93 GHz, 291 million transistors

Storage: RAM		9,000x cheaper 4,000x more capacity
year	\$/MB	typical
1977	\$32,000	16K
1987	\$250	640K-2MB
1997	\$2	64MB-256MB
2007	\$0.06	512MB-2GB+
2007	\$0.06	512MB-2GB+

Storage: disk

129,000x cheaper in 20 years 18,750x more capacity

Recording density increased over 60,000,000 times over 50 years

1977:	360KB floppy drive - \$1480
	\$11,529 / GB (but 2,713 5½" disks!)
1987:	40 MB drive for - \$679
	\$16,975K / <i>G</i> B
2008:	750 GB drive for - \$99
	\$0.13 / <i>G</i> B

Music Collection

4,207 Billboard hits

- 18 GB
- Average song size: 4.4 MB

Today

- Download time per song @12.9 Mbps: 3.5 sec
- Storage cost: \$2.38

Approx 20 years ago (1987)

- Download time per song, V90 modem @44 Kbps: 15 minutes
- Storage cost: \$305,55

Protocols

Faster CPU \rightarrow

more time for protocol processing

- ECC, checksums, parsing (e.g. XML)
- Image, audio compression feasible

Faster network \rightarrow

- \rightarrow bigger (and bloated) protocols
 - e.g., SOAP/XML, H.323

Why do we want to network?

- Performance ratio - Scaling multiprocessors may not be possible or cost effective
- · Distributing applications may make sense - ATMs, graphics, remote monitoring
- · Interactive communication & entertainment work and play together: email, gaming, telephony, instant messaging
- Remote content - web browsing, music & video downloads, IPTV, file servers
- Mobility
- Increased reliability
- Incremental growth

Problems

Designing distributed software can be difficult

- Operating systems handling distribution
- Programming languages?
- Efficiency?
- Reliability?
- Administration?

Network

- disconnect, loss of data, latency

Security

- want easy and convenient access

Building and classifying distributed systems

Flynn's Taxonomy (1972)

number of and

number of data streams

SISD

traditional uniprocessor system

SIMD

- array (vector) processor

instruction streams

- array (Vector) p Examples: GPUs Graphical Processing Units for video APU (attached processor unit in Cell processor) SSE3: Intel's Streaming SIMD Extensions PowerPC AltiVec (Velocity Engine) GPGPU (General Purpose GPU): AMD/ATI, Nvidia Intel Larrabee (late 2008?)

MISD

- Generally not used and doesn't make sense
 Sometimes (rarely!) applied to classifying redundant systems MIMD

- multiple computers, each with:
 program counter, program (instructions), data
 parallel and distributed systems

Subclassifying MIMD

memory

- shared memory systems: multiprocessors
- no shared memory: networks of computers, multicomputers

interconnect

- bus
- switch

delay/bandwidth

- tightly coupled systems
- loosely coupled systems

Bus-based multiprocessors

SMP: Symmetric Multi-Processing All CPUs connected to one bus (backplane)

Memory and peripherals are accessed via shared bus. System looks the same from any processor.

CPU A CPU B memory Device I/O Bus







Working with a cache CPU B reads location 12345 from memory Gets old value Memory not coherent! CPU A 12345: 7 12345:7 Device I/O Bace///

Write-through cache

Fix coherency problem by writing all values through bus to main memory

CPU A modifies location 12345 - write-through main memory is now coherent









Switched multiprocessors

 Bus-based architecture does not scale to a large number of CPUs (8+)

Switched multiprocessors

Divide memory into groups and connect chunks of memory to the processors with a **crossbar switch**



n² crosspoint switches - expensive switching fabric



Crossbar alternative: omega network



with *n* CPUs and *n* memory modules: need **log₂n** switching stages, each with **n/2** switches

Total: (nlog₂n)/2 switches.

- Better than n^2 but still a quite expensive
- delay increases:
 1024 CPU and memory chunks
 - overhead of 10 switching stages to memory and 10 back.

NUMA

- Hierarchical Memory System
- Each CPU has local memory
- Other CPU's memory is in its own address space - slower access
- Better *average* access time than omega network if most accesses are local
- Placement of code and data becomes difficult

NUMA

- SGI Origin's ccNUMA
- AMD64 Opteron
 - Each CPU gets a bank of DDR memory
 - Inter-processor communications are sent over a HyperTransport link
- Linux 2.5 kernel
 Multiple run queues

 - Structures for determining layout of memory and processors

Bus-based multicomputers

- No shared memory
- Communication mechanism needed on bus
 - Traffic much lower than memory access - Need not use physical system bus
 - · Can use LAN (local area network) instead

Bus-based multicomputers

Collection of workstations on a LAN



Switched multicomputers

Collection of workstations on a LAN



Software

Single System Image

Collection of independent computers that appears as a single system to the user(s)

- Independent: autonomous
- Single system: user not aware of distribution
- Distributed systems software Responsible for maintaining single system image

You know you have a distributed system when the crash of a computer you've never heard of stops you from getting any work done.

- Leslie Lamport

Coupling

Tightly versus loosely coupled software

Tightly versus loosely coupled hardware

Design issues: Transparency

High level: hide distribution from users Low level: hide distribution from software

- Location transparency: users don't care where resources are
- Migration transparency: resources move at will
- Replication transparency: users cannot tell whether there are copies of resources
- Concurrency transparency: users share resources transparently
- Parallelism transparency: operations take place in parallel without user's knowledge

Design issues

Reliability

- Availability: fraction of time system is usable Achieve with redundancy
- Reliability: data must not get lost
- Includes security

Performance

- Communication network may be slow and/or unreliable

Scalability

- Distributable vs. centralized algorithms
- Can we take advantage of having lots of computers?

Service Models

Centralized model

- No networking
- Traditional time-sharing system
- Direct connection of user terminals to system
- One or several CPUs
- Not easily scalable
- Limiting factor: number of CPUs in system - Contention for same resources

Client-server model



Peer to peer model

- Each machine on network has (mostly) equivalent capabilities
- No machines are dedicated to serving others
- E.g., collection of PCs:
 - Access other people's files
 - Send/receive email (without server)
 - Gnutella-style content sharing
 - SETI@home computation

Processor pool model

What about idle workstations

- (computing resources)?
- Let them sit idle
- Run jobs on them

Alternatively...

- Collection of CPUs that can be assigned processes on demand
- Users won't need heavy duty workstations • GUI on local machine
- Computation model of Plan 9

Grid computing

Provide users with seamless access to:

- Storage capacity
- Processing
- Network bandwidth

Heterogeneous and geographically distributed systems

Grid Computing

- Provide users with seamless access to:
 - Storage capacity
 - Processing
 - Network bandwidth
- Heterogeneous and geographically distributed systems
- Build a "supercomputer" on the fly via networked, loosely coupled computers

Cloud Computing

Resources are provided as a network (Internet) service

- Software as a Service (SaaS)
- Google Apps
- Salesforce.com

Multi-tier client-server architectures

Two-tier architecture

Common from mid 1980's-early 1990's

- UI on user's desktop
- Application services on server





