CS 417 – DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

Week 12: Infrastructure [Original] Google Cluster Architecture

Paul Krzyzanowski

© 2022 Paul Krzyzanowski. No part of this content may be reproduced or reposted in whole or in part in any manner without the permission of the copyright owner.

ecture

Notes

A note about relevancy

This describes the Google search cluster architecture in the mid 2000s. The search infrastructure was overhauled in 2010.

Nevertheless, the lessons are still valid, and this demonstrates how incredible scalability has been achieved using commodity computers by exploiting parallelism.



APPLICATION LETS' DIFFERENT CLEARES HUN ON DIFFERENT PROCESSORS AN BY PARTYLIOWING THE OVERALL WORK, MUSCILLETS & SINGLE QUERY US MULTIPLE PROCESSORS. TO HANDLE THIS WORKLOAD, GODDLE'S MICHIERD IN REPORT OF A DRIVEN DE ADALEST DE LA DRIVERTA DE LA DRIVERT CLASS POS WITH FALM T-THE FRANT SOFTWARE TO IS ARCHITECTURE ACHEVED ILLIFERIOR FEBRORMANCE AT A FRACTION OF THE COST OF A SYSTEM BUILD FROM FEWER, BUT MORE EXPENSIVE HIGH-END SETVER

uiz André Barmso

a canada Tow With associate square as much . in of a within this many posse compatibility are request to worth regime. During finationalityle asseptishing an On armun a negle-query on Grade read: Different queries can nerves different possiindents of explosion of design of management of the overall index is participated in unit of believes of CPSI system (supporting a - that a single query site our multiple process) percompact many of the mode of games - yers. Comey wildy, just processo patient en solie direven or character ourpi- mane in het legistion flue in mitwhile an size to that if the largest suppresser performance. As such, Gaugie is an exercisiparty incultations. Greedwing more than of a discaply ordered, wellow, and Jeffrey Dean 15,000 stransaday-dan PCs with indi-sta- should be all from prognet activities servicityas men a okriai dară rau- dur shi mu sa dip pathlini, ud --Uts Hölzle an effective that a compatible spiner halt a frestmener mathemating or enoted and one of a resulter transfer of high-and servers increases idere se present de mittigenze of de-

angle have and depart of the parties Bedgle exclusion werview

fame) due tellutant in design usang efficiency and international much and pracepretermour onto harrys resolution implies First, we provide etial-site fillerscy is hey at our scale of openeers, as it informer either than to server-class have er towarepies nel noting starsbester work to se un the township PCs in Jami in the are topopointed famous passing the last- a high-and companing characters as a low-call

Puttered by the QUE Computer County

CHEST CERTIFICATION OF A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PR





Some statistics

- 3.5 billion searches/day trillions per year
- Volume grows ~10% per year ability to scale is crucial
- 16-20% of searches have never been issued before
 - Caching won't help much
- Average user session < 1 minute
- Hundreds of billions of web pages indexed
 - Index > 100 million gigabytes (10^{17} bytes)
- 60% of searches are done via a mobile device



What is needed?

- A single Google search query
 - Reads 10s-100s of terabytes of data
 - Uses tens of billions of CPU cycles
- Environment needs to support tens of thousands of queries per second
- Environment must be
 - Fault tolerant
 - Economical (price-performance ratio matters)
 - Energy efficient (this affects price; watts per unit of performance matters)
- Parallelize the workload
 - CPU performance matters less than price/performance ratio

Best Practices?



Key design principles

- Have reliability reside in software, not hardware
 - Use low-cost (unreliable) commodity PCs to build a high-end cluster
 - Replicate services across machines & detect failures

- Design for <u>best total throughput</u>, not peak server response time
 - Response time can be controlled by parallelizing requests
 - Rely on replication: this helps with availability too
- Price/performance ratio more important than peak performance

Life of a query – step 1: DNS

- User's browser must map *google.com* to an *IP address*
- "google.com" comprises multiple clusters distributed worldwide
 - Each cluster contains thousands of machines
- DNS-based load balancing
 - Select cluster by taking user's geographic & network proximity into account
 - Load balance across clusters



- 1. Contact DNS server(s) to find the DNS server responsible for google.com
- 2. Google's DNS server returns addresses based on location of request
- 3. Contact the appropriate cluster

Life of a query – step 2: Send HTTP request

- · IP address corresponds to a load balancer within a cluster
- Load balancer
 - Monitors the set of Google Web Servers (GWS)
 - Performs local load balancing of requests among available servers
- GWS machine receives the query
 - Coordinates the execution of the query
 - Formats results into an HTML response to the user



Step 3. Find documents via inverted index

Index Servers

- Map each query word → {list of document IDs} (this is the hit list)
 - Inverted index generated from web crawlers via MapReduce
- Intersect the hit lists of each per-word query
 - Compute the relevance score for each document
 - Determine the set of documents
 - Sort by relevance score



Parallel search through an inverted index

- Inverted index is 10s of terabytes
- Search is parallelized
 - Index is divided into index shards
 - · Each index shard is built from a randomly chosen subset of documents
 - · Pool of machines serves requests for each shard
 - · Pools are load balanced
 - Query goes to one machine per pool responsible for a shard



Sharded & Replicated Index Servers



Step 4. Get title & URL for each docid

For each *docid*, the GWS looks up the *docid* to get

- Page title
- URL
- Relevant text: document summary specific to the query

This is handled by document servers (docservers)

Parallelizing document lookup

- Like index lookup, document lookup is partitioned & parallelized
- Documents distributed into smaller shards
 - Each shard = subset of documents
- Pool of load-balanced servers responsible for processing each shard
- Together, document servers access a cached copy of the entire web!



Additional operations

- In parallel with search:
 - Send query to a spell-checking system
 - Send query to an ad-serving system to generate ads
- When all the results are in, GWS generates HTML output:
 - Sorted query results with
 - Page titles, summaries, and URLs
 - Ads



Lesson: exploit parallelism

- Instead of looking up matching documents in a large index
 - Do many lookups for documents in smaller indices
 - Merge results together: merging is simple & inexpensive
- Divide the stream of incoming queries
 - Among geographically-distributed clusters
 - Load balance among query servers within a cluster
- Achieve linear performance improvement with more machines
 - Shards don't need to communicate with each other
 - Increase # of shards across more machines to improve performance

Updating & scaling are easy

Updates

- Updates infrequent compared to reads
- Load balancers make updating easy
 - Take the system out of the load balancer during the update
 - No need to worry about data integrity and locks
- Shards don't need to communicate with each other

Scaling

- Add more shards as # of documents grows
- Add more replicas if a throughput increase is needed

Summary

- Use software to achieve reliability
- Use replication for high throughput
- Price-performance is more important than peak CPU
- Use commodity hardware

The End

The End