

Principle of Least Privilege

At each abstraction layer, every element (user, process, function) should be able to access only the resources necessary to perform its task

- · Even if an element is compromised, the scope of damage is limited
- · Consider:
 - Good: You cannot kill another user's process
- Good: You cannot open the /etc/hosts file for writing
- Good: Private member functions & local variables in functions limit scope
- Violation: a compromised print daemon allows someone to add users
- Violation: a process can write a file even though there is no need to
- Violation: admin privileges set by default for any user account
- · Least privilege is often difficult to define & enforce

Privilege Separation

Divide a program into multiple parts: high & low privilege components

- Example on POSIX systems
 - Each process has a real and effective user ID
 - Privileges are evaluated based on the effective user ID
 Normally, uid == euid
 - An executable file may be tagged with a setuid bit
 - · chmod +sx filename
 - When run: uid = user's ID euid = file owner's ID (without setuid, runs with user's ID)
 - Separating a program
 - 1. Run a setuid program
 - 2. Create a communication link to self (pipe, socket, shared memory)
 - 3. fork
 - 4. One of the processes will call seteuid(getuid()) to lower its privilege

Security Goals

- Authentication
 - Ensure that users, machines, programs, and resources are properly identified
- Integrity
- Verify that data has not been compromised: deleted, modified, added
- Confidentiality
- Prevent unauthorized access to data
- Availability
- Ensure that the system is accessible

The Operating System

The OS provides processes with access to resources

Resource	OS component
Processor(s)	Process scheduler
Memory	Memory Management + MMU
Peripheral devices	Device drivers & buffer cache
Logical persistent data	File systems
Communication networks	Sockets

- Resource access attempts go through the OS
- OS decides whether access should be granted – Rules that guide the decision = *policy*

Domains of protection

- Processes interact with objects
- Objects include:
 - hardware (CPU, memory, I/O devices) software: files, processes, semaphores, messages, signals
- A process should be allowed to access only objects that it is authorized to access
- A process operates in a protection domain
- Protection domain defines the objects the process may access and how it may access them

Modeling Protection: Access Matrix

Rows: domains

Columns: objects

Each entry represents an access right of a domain on an object

	objects								
	Fo	F ₁	Printer						
D ₀	read	read-write	print						
D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃	read-write- execute	read							
D ₂	read- execute								
D ₃		read	print						
D ₄			print						

Acc	es	s Ma	trix:	Doma	ain	Tran	sfers			
Switc	hing	from on	e doma	ain to an	othe	r is a co	nfigural	ole polic	;y	
					obj		A proces running			ch to
ş		Fo	F ₁	Printer	D ₀	D1	02	D ₃	D ₄	
otectic	D ₀	read	read- write	print	-	switch	switch			
domains of protection	D ₁	read- write- execute	read			-				
main	D ₂	read- execute				switch	-			
8	D ₃		read	print]
	D ₄			print						1

Access Matrix: Additional operations

Copy: allow delegation of rights

- Copy a specific access right on an object from one domain to another
- Rights may specify either a copy or a transfer of rights
 objects

	Fo	F ₁	Printer	D	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄
D ₀	read	read- write	print	-	switch			xecuting
D ₁	read- write- execute	read*						ead right r domair
D ₂	read- execute				swtich	-		
D_3		read	print					
D_4			print					



Acc	es	s Ma	trix:	Additi	ion	al op	erati	ons			
– If	acce	əss(i, j) i	nclude	ies in a s a <u>conti</u> le access	r <mark>ol</mark> rig s righ	ght, ther			ecuting in		
5		F	F ₁	Printer	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄		
domains of protection	D ₀	read owner	read- write	print	-	switch	swtich				
	D ₁	read- write- execute	read*			-			control		
	D ₂	read- execute				swtich	A process executing in D_{t}				
	D ₃		read	print		с	can modify any rights in				
ð				print		domain D ₄					

Implementing an access matrix

- A single table is usually impractical
- Big size: # domains (users) × # objects (files)
- Objects may come and go frequently

Access Control List

- Associate a column of the table with each object

Implementing an access matrix Access Control List - Associate a column of the table with each object objects D_1 D_4 D₀ D₂ D_3 Printer domains of protection read owner D_0 ACL for file F write D_1 read-writeread* read- D_2 swtich D_3 print read D_4 print

Example: Limited ACLs in POSIX systems

<u>Problem</u>: an ACL takes up a varying amount of space (possibly a lot!)

- Won't fit in an inode

UNIX Compromise:

- A file defines access rights for three domains:
- the owner, the group, and everyone else
 Permissions
- Read, write, execute, directory search
- Set user ID on execution
- · Set group ID on execution
- Default permissions set by the umask system call
- chown system call changes the object's owner
- chmod system call changes the object's permissions

Example: Full ACLs in POSIX systems

- What if we really want a full ACL?
- · Extended attributes: stored outside of the inode
- Hold an ACL
- And other name:value attributes
- Enumerated list of permissions on users and groups
- Operations on all objects:
- delete, readattr, writeattr, readextattr, writeextattr, readsecurity, writesecurity, chown
- Operations on directories
- list, search, add_file, add_subdirectory, delete_child
- Operations on files
 read, write, append, execute
- Inheritance controls



Capability Lists

- List of objects together with the operations allowed on the objects
- Each item in the list is a *capability*: the operations allowed on a specific object
- A process presents the capability along with a request
 Possessing the capability means that access is allowed
- · A process cannot modify its capability list

Access Control Models: MAC vs. DAC

- DAC: Discretionary Access Control
- A subject (domain) can pass information onto any other subject
- In some cases, access rights may be transferred
- Most systems use this
- MAC: Mandatory Access Control - Policy is centrally controlled
- Users cannot override the policy

Multi-level Access Control • Typical MAC implementations use a Multi-Level Secure (MLS) access model Bell-LaPadula model - Identifies the ability to access and communicate data - Objects are classified into a hierarchy of sensitivity levels Unclassified, Confidential, Secret, Top Secret - Each user is assigned a clearance - "No read up; no write down" Cannot read from a higher clearance level Cannot write to a lower clearance level · Works well for government information · Does not translate well to civilian life

